llography: . linaclotide for chronic constipation. Cochrane[[[]]][[]]]. Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	CSBM responder	placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
	ndor rato⊓linasl	otide vs placebo							(93% CI)	(93% CI)		
	1	•								T T		
7	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	573/2615 (21.9%)	89/1234 (7.2%)	OR 3.59 (2.82 to 4.57)	146 more per 1,000 (from 108 more to 190 more)	⊕⊕⊕ ніGн	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: exter	nely low dose gro	up vs low dose gro	oup								
3	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	102/552 (18.5%)	102/538 (19.0%)	OR 0.93 (0.67 to 1.27)	11 fewer per 1,000 (from 54 fewer to 39 more)	⊕⊕⊕ нібн	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: extre	mely low dose gro	oup vs high dose g	roup								
2	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	51/141 (36.2%)	62/138 (44.9%)	OR 0.63 (0.38 to 1.05)	110 fewer per 1,000 (from 213 fewer to 12 more)	О ФФ нідн	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: low d	ose group vs med	ium dose group				•			•		
5	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	151/710 (21.3%)	162/712 (22.8%)	OR 0.92 (0.71 to 1.19)	14 fewer per 1,000 (from 55 fewer to 32 more)	Н ІБН	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: low d	lose group vs high	dose group				I.					
2	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	47/127 (37.0%)	62/138 (44.9%)	OR 0.69 (0.42 to 1.15)	89 fewer per 1,000 (from 194 fewer to 35 more)	НІGH	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: medi	um dose group vs	high dose group	L		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		L
2	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	56/135 (41.5%)	57/139 (41.0%)	OR 1.03 (0.63 to 1.68)	7 more per 1,000 (from 106 fewer to 129 more)	⊕⊕⊕ ніGн	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: extre	mely low dose gro	oup vs placebo									
3	randomised trials	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected	106/552 (19.2%)	45/550 (8.2%)	OR 2.94 (1.98 to 4.34)	126 more per 1,000 (from 68 more to 197 more)	⊕⊕ОО LOW	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: low d	ose group vs plac	ebo									
6	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	202/1121 (18.0%)	78/1145 (6.8%)	OR 3.24 (2.44 to 4.31)	123 more per 1,000 (from 83 more to 171 more)	⊕⊕⊕ ніGн	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: medi	um dose group vs	placebo	1	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
5	randomised trials	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious	none	162/712 (22.8%)	59/644 (9.2%)	OR 3.08 (1.46 to 6.50)	145 more per 1,000 (from 37 more to 304 more)	⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE	CRITICAL
SBM respo	onder rate: high	dose group vs pla	cebo	1		1	ı		1	<u> </u>		1
3	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected	103/230 (44.8%)	37/238 (15.5%)	OR 4.79 (3.04 to 7.54)	313 more per 1,000 (from 203	⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE	CRITICAL

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio